

CONTINUOUS KIDNEY REPLACEMENT THERAPY IN CRITICALLY ILL INTENSIVE CARE UNIT PATIENTS WITH ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY IN A DISTRICT HOSPITAL WITHOUT NEPHROLOGIST

Xue Meng Lim¹, Nor Haslina binti Abdul Rahman¹, Mohd Kamil bin Ahmad²

¹Department of Medicine, Kuala Lipis District Hospital, Pahang, Malaysia

²Department of Nephrology, Tengku Ampuan Afzan Hospital, Pahang, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Acute kidney injury in critically ill patients is associated with increased mortality. This paper describes the characteristics of intensive care unit (ICU) patients who required continuous kidney replacement therapy (CKRT), properties of CKRT, and outcomes i.e. length of ICU stay, mortality and renal recovery.

Method: Retrospective descriptive review was conducted on 13 septic patients who underwent CKRT in an ICU in a district hospital without an in-house nephrologist between May 2022 to March 2023. Treatment indications were refractory fluid overload, severe metabolic acidosis (pH<7.1), hyperkalaemia (>6.5mEq/l), and symptomatic uraemia. We describe patients' characteristics, their disease severity with Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, the timing of CKRT initiation, characteristics of CKRT, and outcomes such as length of ICU stay, mortality and renal recovery.

Results: There were 13 critically ill ICU patients with sepsis and multiorgan failure who underwent CKRT. There were five males and eight females, with a mean age of 46 years (SD 13.6). Pre-morbidly, eight patients

had diabetes mellitus (61.5%), six had hypertension (46%), three had heart failure (23%), and one for stage V chronic kidney disease, coronary artery disease and bronchial asthma (8%). Four patients had no prior illness (31%). Twelve patients (92%) had severe disease; the mean SOFA score was 16 (SD 3.2). Time to nephrology referral were between <1 day to 2 days, and treatments were initiated within 2 hours. All received continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration using the Prismaflex-Baxter machine. The mean treatment duration is 38 hours (SD 31.8). Incomplete treatment was due to seven clotted circuits and four deaths. Two patients who used anticoagulated circuits completed their treatments. The mean length of ICU stay was 10 days. Three patients survived with renal recovery, and the mortality rate was 77%.

Conclusion: CKRT provides hemodynamic stability for critically ill patients. We aim to increase circuit patency and improve the mortality rate by expanding treatment availability to a wider range of patients. This review provides insight for improvement and encourages CKRT initiation in other centres.

Keywords: CKRT, CVVHDF, AKI, haemodialysis, ICU

*Correspondence: Xue Meng Lim
Department of Medicine
Kuala Lipis District Hospital,
Pahang, Malaysia
Email: lim_mich871124@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is common among critically ill patients, with varied incidences due to different diagnostic definitions. A study among Asians reported an incidence of 57% among those admitted into the intensive care unit (ICU) (1). KDIGO defined AKI as either an increase in serum creatinine by ≥ 0.3 mg/dl (≥ 26.5 mmol/l) within 48 hours, or an increase in serum creatinine to ≥ 1.5 times baseline within the prior 7 days, or urine volume ≤ 0.5 ml/kg/hour for 6 hours (2). It can be divided into pre-renal causes, due to reduced blood flow to the kidney in shock, renal causes such as glomerular and tubulointerstitial diseases, and post-renal causes such as urinary tract obstruction. Medications can lead to all three categories of AKI. AKI is associated with an increased risk of mortality (3,4). The aim of this paper is to describe the characteristics of critically-ill patients admitted into the ICU of a district hospital without a nephrologist, who required continuous kidney replacement therapy (CKRT), and the property of CKRT delivered, as well as the outcomes in terms of length of ICU stay, mortality and renal recovery.

METHOD

A retrospective descriptive review was conducted in 13 patients aged >18 years who underwent CKRT in an intensive care unit of a district hospital without a nephrologist between the period of May 2022 to March 2023. All critically ill patients with kidney failure resulting from sepsis and require CKRT support were included. Indications for CKRT initiation were refractory fluid overload, severe refractory metabolic acidosis (pH <7.1), hyperkalemia (>6.5 mEq/l), and symptomatic uremia. The exclusion criteria are patients without complete records. We report the characteristics (age and gender) and comorbidities (chronic kidney disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, coronary artery disease, lung disease and liver disease) of the patients, severity of disease calculated according to Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score, timing of nephrology referral, characteristics of the CKRT delivered (duration, modality, membrane used, anticoagulation), as well as outcome in terms of length of ICU stay, mortality and renal recoverys.

RESULTS

A total of 13 critically-ill patients who were admitted into ICU of a district hospital underwent CKRT between May 2022 to March 2023. All of them were diagnosed with sepsis with multiorgan failure. There were 5 males (38.5%) and 8 females (61.5%), with a mean age of 46 years (SD 13.6 years). One patient had stage V chronic kidney disease (CKD) before presentation, while the others either have normal baseline serum creatinine or had no premorbid disease. Most patients had diabetes mellitus (8 patients, 61.5%) as a pre-morbid condition, followed by six hypertensive patients (46%), three heart failure patients (23%), one coronary artery disease patient and one with bronchial asthma (8%). Four patients had no prior illness (31%). A total of 12 patients (92%) had severe disease as indicated by an SOFA score of ≥ 12 , and a mean SOFA score of 16 (SD 3.2). Time from diagnosis of AKI to nephrology referral was evenly spread among groups of <1 day (4 cases), 1 day (4 cases) and 2 days (5 cases). All treatments were initiated within 2 hours of the decision.

All the patients received continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF) using the Prismaflex-Baxter machine and with a prescribed dose of 30 ml/kg/hour. Seven patients used the oXiris membrane, and the others used the standard membrane. The mean duration of the CKRT delivered is 38 hours (SD 31.8). Seven patients had premature clotting of the membrane before the completion of 72 hours. Only two patients, who completed their prescribed 72-hours treatment, had their lines anticoagulated with heparin infusion at the rate of 10 units/kg/hour. Death in four of our patients is another important cause of incomplete CKRT. The outcome of these patients was categorized into length of ICU stay, 30-day mortality and renal recovery. The mean duration of ICU stay was 10 days, with the longest stay of 32 days. Out of 13 patients, three survived with total renal recovery, and the mortality rate is 77%.

Patient	Characteristics and comorbidities								
	Gender	Age (years)	CKD	Hypertension	DM	Heart failure	Coronary artery disease	Lung disease	Disease severity (SOFA score)
1	Female	38	X	X	X	X	X	X	15
2	Male	72	X	X	✓	X	X	X	16
3	Female	28	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	20
4	Male	40	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	14
5	Male	35	X	X	✓	X	X	X	10
6	Male	65	X	X	X	X	X	X	17
7	Female	44	X	X	X	X	X	X	13
8	Female	36	X	X	X	X	X	✓	11
9	Female	43	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	19
10	Male	55	✓ Stage V	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	16
11	Female	35	X	X	X	✓	X	X	20
12	Female	68	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	20
13	Female	41	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	15
% or mean	38.5% males, 61.5% females	Mean 46 (SD 13.6)	8%	46%	61.5%	23%	8%	8%	Mean 16 (SD 3.2), 92% severe disease

Table 1: Patient characteristics, comorbidities, and disease severity.

CKD, chronic kidney disease; DM, diabetes mellitus, SOFA, Sequential Organ Failure Assessment.

Patient	Prismaflex CKRT						
	Time to nephrology referral	Duration of CKRT (hours)	Type of CKRT and dosage prescribed (ml/kg/hour)	oXiris membrane	Premature clotting	Heparin use	Cause of termination
1	<1 day	3	CVVHDF, 30	✓	X	X	Death
2	2 days	38	CVVHDF, 30	X	✓	X	Clot
3	2 days	45	CVVHDF, 30	✓	✓	X	Clot
4	2 days	13	CVVHDF, 30	X	✓	X	Clot
5	1 day	3	CVVHDF, 30	✓	✓	X	Clot, access problem
6	1 day	102	CVVHDF, 30	X	X	✓	Completed
7	<1 day	33	CVVHDF, 30	✓	X	X	Death
8	<1 day	5	CVVHDF, 30	✓	X	X	Death
9	1 day	44	CVVHDF, 30	X	✓	X	Clot
10	2 days	86	CVVHDF, 30	✓	X	✓	Completed
11	1 day	9	CVVHDF, 30	✓	X	X	Death
12	<1 day	58	CVVHDF, 30	X	✓	X	Clot
13	2 days	53	CVVHDF, 30	X	✓	X	Clot
% or mean	Mean 1 day	Mean 38 hours (SD 31.8)		54%	54%	15%	54% clotted, 31% deaths, 15% completed

Table 2: Time to nephrology referral and characteristic of CKRT delivered.

Patient	Length of ICU stay (days)	30-day mortality	Renal recovery
1	1	✓	X
2	15	✓	X
3	4	✓	X
4	3	✓	X
5	5	X	✓
6	25	✓	X
7	8	✓	X
8	2	✓	X
9	10	X	✓
10	8	✓	X
11	32	✓	X
12	6	✓	X
13	20	X	✓
% or mean	Mean 10 days	Mortality rate 77%	Recovery rate: 23%

Table 3: Outcomes of patients in terms of length of ICU stay, 30-day mortality and renal recovery.

DISCUSSION

This paper summarizes our experience in treating 13 patients since the initiation of CKRT in May 2022 in our district hospital without a nephrologist. All cases were reviewed by resident physicians and were discussed with nephrologists from a tertiary hospital before initiation of therapy. CKRT is performed in cooperation between the internal medicine and anaesthesiology teams. We received one Prismaflex machine in May 2022. CKRT is delivered via 14F catheters inserted into the femoral vein or right internal jugular vein. The choice of membrane used is based on their availability. KDIGO recommends CKRT as the modality of choice in haemodynamically unstable patients (2), although studies have failed to demonstrate better renal recovery or lesser mortality compared to intermittent haemodialysis (IHD) or prolonged intermittent renal replacement therapy (PIRRT) (5-9). Some studies

indicated that CKRT is associated with more stable cerebral perfusion and better negative fluid balance in critically ill patients (10-11). CVVHDF is our modality of choice because it combines the benefits of both continuous venovenous hemodialysis (CVVHD) and continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH), providing optimal solute clearance including those of higher molecular-sized, delivers better haemodynamic stability and reducing filter clot. We initiate CKRT in critically ill patients who depended on more than two inotropes. Most of our patients are relatively young, with one to two co-morbidities. One patient had CKD stage V before illness and all patients were kidney replacement therapy (KRT)-naïve.

Timing of CKRT initiation is a delicate balance between its benefit in correction of fluid balance, solute clearance and stabilizing acid-base status, versus the risks associated with the treatment and resources available. The Effect of

Early vs Delayed Initiation of Renal Replacement Therapy on Mortality in Critically Ill Patients with Acute Kidney Injury (ELAIN) trial reported that early initiation of kidney replacement therapy (KRT) improves 90-day mortality, shorter duration of KRT, reduced ventilator time, and shortened duration of hospitalization (12). However, the Artificial Kidney Initiation in Kidney Injury (AKIKI) trial reported no difference in 60-day mortality between early and late KRT initiation, with the benefit of reduced KRT in late strategy (13,14). This is supported by the Initiation of Dialysis Early Versus Delayed in the Intensive Care Unit (IDEAL-ICU) trial, which also reported no difference in 90-day mortality, ventilator duration, inotrope support duration, length of hospitalization and dependence on KRT (15). The timing of KRT initiation is still debatable due to the innate differences among these studies in criteria used for initiation of KRT, modality of KRT used, and characteristics of patients included in the trials, with a preference towards late initiation in absence of absolute indications such as severe fluid overload or hyperkalemia (16).

Due to the retrospective nature of this paper, there is inadequate data to confirm the actual delivered dose of the CKRT for every patient. Although the recommended dosage of CKRT is between 20 to 25 ml/kg/hour, a higher dosage prescription of 30 ml/kg/hour is often required to achieve the target dose (2). Both the VA/NIH Acute Renal Failure Trial Network (ATN) study and The Randomized Evaluation of Normal versus Augmented Level (RENAL) Replacement Therapy Study reported no difference in mortality, renal recovery or extra-renal organ failure between more and less intensive therapy (17,18). Seven of our patients had premature circuit clotting which resulted in a reduced delivered dose of CKRT. Most of our patients did not receive anticoagulation due to coagulopathy associated with severe sepsis. In practice, anticoagulation usage varies, with 30% to 60% omitting them (17, 19). To improve circuit patency in the future, we should consider the usage of regional citrate anticoagulation (RCA), along with increasing blood flow rate, reducing filtration fraction to <20%, replacing fluid prefilter, and ensuring good catheter flow (17). One patient had a catheter problem causing the termination of treatment after only 3 hours. However, he survived with good renal recovery. Retrospectively, he had a less severe disease (SOFA score 10) and may have been started on treatment too early. Another outlier is a patient who stayed for 32 days in ICU and was treated with PIRRT before changing modality to CKRT for nine hours before her demise. Usage

of oXiris membrane provides endotoxin adsorption and some studies have reported that it reduces inflammation and improve organ function, but these are not supported by randomized control studies (20,21).

The mean length of stay in ICU among our patients was 10 days, similar to another retrospective study (22). Mortality in patients treated with CKRT in ICU has been described as ranging between 37%-75% (23, 24), but the higher mortality rate of our patients at 77% may be attributed to the fact that our small population of septic patients were significantly ill requiring more than two inotropic support and mechanical ventilation. Renal recovery is defined as a return of serum creatinine to baseline or normalized, good urine output of >0.5 ml/kg/hour and haemodialysis independent. The Beginning and Ending Supportive Therapy for the Kidney (BEST Kidney) study reported that urine output >400 ml daily without diuretics is associated with successful termination of CKRT (25). All 3 of our patients who had renal recovery did so within 30 days. The decision to discontinue CKRT is up to the discretion of the physician.

Compared with hospitals without in-house nephrologist, it has been reported that treatment delivered by a specialized team consisting of nephrologist and staff specifically trained in CKRT lead to the reduction of red cells transfusions, earlier initiation of CKRT, better CKRT filter usage with less downtime, shortened length of ICU stay, and resulted in better 90-day survival (26-28). The availability of a nephrologist may improve our treatment delivery by optimizing the timing for initiation of KRT, ensuring adequate vascular access for CKRT, selecting the best modality required by patients which is dynamic and require ongoing clinical assessment, providing specifics of the prescription, handling possible complications which may arise with the treatment, and educating the team on the advances in the field, among many important roles.

The weakness of this review is our small sample size, limited by resource availability, precludes the usage of appropriate analysis tools to determine predictors associated with clinical outcomes. However, this is an audit of our performance to guide future improvement. We hope that this sharing of our experience can lead to the initiation of CKRT in other district hospitals without nephrologists.

CONCLUSION

CKRT provides better hemodynamic stability for critically ill patients who require haemodialysis support. The optimal timing for initiation remains debatable. We can improve the patency of the circuit in the future with various methods, one of which is the usage of regional citrate anticoagulation. The mortality rate may be improved if we have more resources to administer this treatment to a wider range of patients. The sharing of our experience may provide insight for future improvement and encourage initiation of CKRT in other district hospitals without nephrologists.

References

- Jiang L, Zhu Y, Luo X, et al. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in intensive care units in Beijing: the multi-center BAKIT study. *BMC Nephrol* 2019; 20:468.
- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Acute Kidney Injury Work Group KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline for Acute Kidney Injury. *Kidney Int.* 2012; 2012(suppl):1–138.
- Hoste EA, Clermont G, Kersten A, et al. RIFLE criteria for acute kidney injury are associated with hospital mortality in critically ill patients: a cohort analysis. *Crit Care* 2006; 10: R73.
- Levy EM, Viscoli CM, Horwitz RI. The effect of acute renal failure on mortality. A cohort analysis. *JAMA* 1996; 275:1489–1494.
- Mehta RL, McDonald B, Gabbai FB. A randomized clinical trial of continuous versus intermittent dialysis for acute renal failure. *Kidney Int.* 2001; 60(3):1154–1163.
- Scheffold JC, Von Haehling S, Pschowski R. The effect of continuous versus intermittent renal replacement therapy on the outcome of critically ill patients with acute renal failure (CONVINT): a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care.* 2014;18(1): R11.
- Bagshaw SM, Berthiaume LR, Delaney A, et al. Continuous versus intermittent renal replacement therapy for critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med.* 2008;36(2):610–617.
- Zhang L, Yang J, Eastwood GM, et al. Extended daily dialysis versus continuous renal replacement therapy for acute kidney injury: a meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney.* 2015;66(2):322–330.
- Schwenger V, Weigand MA, Hoffmann O. Sustained low efficiency dialysis using a single-pass batch system in acute kidney injury—a randomized interventional trial: the REal Replacement Therapy Study in Intensive Care Unit PatiEnts. *Crit Care.* 2012;16(4): R140.
- Ronco C, Bellomo R, Brendolan A, et al. Brain density changes during renal replacement in critically ill patients with acute renal failure. Continuous hemofiltration versus intermittent hemodialysis. *J Nephrol.* 1999;12(3):173–178.
- Bouchard J, Soroko SB, Chertow GM. Fluid accumulation, survival and recovery of kidney function in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int.* 2009;76(4):422–427.
- Zarbock A, Kellum JA, Schmidt C. Effect of early vs delayed initiation of renal replacement therapy on mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury: the ELAIN randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2016;315(20):2190–2199.
- Gaudry S, Hajage D, Schortgen F. Initiation strategies for renal-replacement therapy in the intensive care unit. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(2):122–133.
- Gaudry S, Hajage D, Schortgen F. Timing of renal support and outcome of septic shock and acute respiratory distress syndrome. A post hoc analysis of the AKIKI randomized clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2018;198(1):58–66.
- Barbar SD, Clere-Jehl R, Bourredjem A. Timing of renal-replacement therapy in patients with acute kidney injury and sepsis. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;379(15):1431–1442.
- Vanmassenhove J, Vanholder R, Van Biesen W, et al. Haste makes waste—Should current guideline recommendations for initiation of renal replacement therapy for acute kidney injury be changed? *Semin Dial.* 2018;31(3):204–208.
- Palevsky PM, Zhang JH, VA/NIH Acute Renal Failure Trial Network. Intensity of renal support in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359(1):7–20.
- Bellomo R, Cass A, RENAL Replacement Therapy Study Investigators. Intensity of continuous renal-replacement therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(17):1627–1638.
- Uchino S, Bellomo R, Morimatsu H. Continuous renal replacement therapy: A worldwide practice survey. *Intensive Care Med.* 2007;33(5):1563–1570.
- Zhou Y, Wu C, Ouyang L, et al. Application of oXiris-continuous hemofiltration adsorption in patients with sepsis and septic shock: A single-centre experience in China. *Front Public Health.* 2022; 10:1012998.
- Schwindenhammer V, Girardot T, Chaulier K, et al. oXiris use in septic shock: Experience of two French centers. *Blood Purif.* 2019; 47(suppl 3): 29-35.
- Gleeson PJ, Crippa IA, Sexton DJ, et al. Determinants of renal recovery and mortality inpatients undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy in the ICU. *Intensive Care Medicine Experimental.* 2015; 3 (Suppl 1): A54.
- Stads S, Fortrie G, Van Bommel J, et al. Impaired kidney function at hospital discharge and long-term renal and overall survival in patients who received CRRT. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013; 8:1284–1291.
- Prasad B, Urbanski M, Ferguson TW, et al. Early mortality on continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT): the prairie CRRT study. *Can J Kidney Health Dis.* 2016; 3:36.
- Uchino S, Bellomo R, Morimatsu H. Discontinuation of continuous renal replacement therapy: a post hoc analysis of a prospective multicenter observational study. *Crit Care Med.* 2009;37(9):2576–2582.
- Rhee H, Jang GS, Han M, et al. The role of the specialized team in the operation of continuous renal replacement therapy: a single-center experience. *BMC Nephrol.* 2017;18:332.
- Kee YK, Kim EJ, Park KS, Han SG, Han IM, Yoon CY, et al. The effect of specialized continuous renal replacement therapy team in acute kidney injury patients treatment. *Yonsei Medical Journal.* 2015;56(3):658-665.
- Oh HJ, Lee MJ, Kim CH, Kim DY, Lee HS, Park JT, et al. The benefit of specialized team approaches in patients with acute kidney injury undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy: Propensity score matched analysis. *Critical Care.* 2014;18(4):454.